

Continuous-discrete integrable equations and Darboux transformations as deformations of associative algebras

B.G.Konopelchenko

Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita del Salento
and INFN, Sezione di Lecce, 73100, Lecce, Italy

September 23, 2008

Abstract

Deformations of the structure constants for a class of associative non-commutative algebras generated by Deformation Driving Algebras (DDA's) are defined and studied. These deformations are governed by the Central System (CS). Such a CS is studied for the case of DDA being the algebra of shifts. Concrete examples of deformations for the three-dimensional algebra governed by discrete and mixed continuous-discrete Boussinesq (BSQ) and WDVV equations are presented. It is shown that the theory of the Darboux transformations, at least for the BSQ case, is completely incorporated into the proposed scheme of deformations.

1 Introduction

Deep interrelation between integrable systems and deformations of the structure constants for associative algebras is now well established due to the discovery of the WDVV equation by Witten [1] and Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde [2], its beautiful formalization by Dubrovin [3,4] within the theory of Frobenius manifolds and subsequent extensions (see e.g. [5,6]).

Novel approach to the deformation theory of the structure constants for associative algebras has been proposed recently in [7-11]. In contrast with the theory of Frobenius manifolds and F-manifolds [3-6] where the algebra action is defined in the tangent bundle the approach [7-11] is formulated basically in terms of classical and quantum mechanics and provides us with the coisotropic and quantum deformations of structure constants. One of its advantages is that it naturally admits different extensions. To formulate them we recall briefly that of quantum deformations [10]. It consists basically in three steps: 1) to take the

table of multiplication for an associative algebra in the basis $\mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_{N-1}$, with the structure constants C_{jk}^l , i.e.

$$\mathbf{P}_j \mathbf{P}_k = C_{jk}^l \mathbf{P}_l, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (1)$$

and associate the set of operators

$$f_{jk} = -p_j p_k + C_{jk}^l(x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{N-1}) p_l, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (2)$$

with it where $x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{N-1}$ stand for the deformation parameters, $\mathbf{P}_0$ denotes the unite element of the basis and summation over repeated index (from 0 to N-1) is assumed, 2) to require that the operators $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{N-1}$ and $x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{N-1}$ are elements of the Heisenberg algebra, i.e.

$$[p_j, p_k] = 0, \quad [x^j, x^k] = 0, \quad [p_j, x^k] = \hbar \delta_j^k, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (3)$$

where $\hbar$ is a constant and δ_j^k is the Kronecker symbol and 3) the requirement that the functions $C_{jk}^l(x)$ are such that the set of equations

$$f_{jk} | \Psi \rangle = 0, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (4)$$

has a nontrivial common solution (Dirac's prescription) where $| \Psi \rangle$ are elements of a certain linear space.

The requirement (4) gives rise to the set of equations (quantum central system (QCS)) [10]

$$\hbar \frac{\partial C_{jk}^m}{\partial x^i} - \hbar \frac{\partial C_{kl}^m}{\partial x^j} + C_{jk}^m C_{lm}^n - C_{kl}^m C_{jm}^n = 0, \quad j, k, l, n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (5)$$

which governs quantum deformations of the structure constants $C_{jk}^l(x^0, \dots, x^{N-1})$. The QCS (5) contains the oriented associativity equation, WDVV equation, Boussinesq equation, Gelfand-Dikii and Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) hierarchies as the particular cases [10].

In this paper we will present an extension of the above approach. The basic idea is to consider other algebras formed by the elements $p_0, \dots, p_{N-1}$ of the basis and deformation parameters $x^0, \dots, x^{N-1}$ instead of the Heisenberg algebra. We will refer to such algebras as the Deformation Driving Algebras (DDA's). We will show that choosing DDA as the algebra of differences, one gets discrete CS and subsequently discrete integrable equations and hierarchies. DDA which contains both Heisenberg and differences subalgebras gives rise to the mixed continuous-discrete equations and Darboux transformations for continuous systems.

We consider the deformations of the three and four-dimensional associative algebras as the illustration of the general approach. The corresponding CS contains, in particular, the continuous Boussinesq (BSQ) equation, discrete and

mixed discrete-continuous BSQ equations and corresponding Darboux transformation. We demonstrate that the Darboux transformations for the BSQ equation are completely incorporated into the scheme for deformations of associative algebras presented in the paper.

Another illustrative example is given by the WDVV equation, its discrete and mixed discrete-continuous versions.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 definition of deformations generated by DDA is given and general CS is derived. Continuous, discrete and general mixed BSQ deformations are considered in section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the study of WDVV, discrete and mixed WDVV deformations and equations. Mixed DDA and corresponding Darboux transformations are studied in section 5. Darboux transformations for the BSQ equation and deformations for the four-dimensional algebra are discussed in section 6. Appendix contains some explicit formulas.

2 Deformations of the structure constants generated by DDA

So, let us consider a finite-dimensional associative algebra A with (or without) unite element $\mathbf{P}_0$. We choose a basis $\mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_{N-1}$ and introduce structure constants via the table of multiplication

$$\mathbf{P}_j \mathbf{P}_k = C_{jk}^l \mathbf{P}_l, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (6)$$

In order to define deformations $C_{jk}^l(x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{N-1})$ of the structure constants we first identify the elements of the basis P_j and deformation parameters x^j with the elements of a certain algebra which we will call the Deformation Driving Algebra (DDA).

Then we introduce the operators

$$f_{jk} \doteq -p_j p_k + C_{jk}^l(x) p_l, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (7)$$

and finally we require that the functions $C_{jk}^l(x)$ are such that these operators have common nontrivial kernel or, equivalently, that the equations

$$f_{jk} | \Psi \rangle = 0, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (8)$$

have nontrivial common solutions (Dirac's prescription).

If the structure constants $C_{jk}^l(x)$ are such that this requirement is satisfied we say that they define deformations generated by DDA.

The requirement (8) can be converted into the set of equations for $C_{jk}^l(x)$ which we will call the central system (CS) for deformations. The concrete form of CS depends on DDA. For instance, for the Heisenberg DDA (3) the CS is given by QCS (5).

In the present paper we restrict ourselves to associative algebras which have commutative basis, i.e. for which $C_{jk}^l(x) = C_{kj}^l(x)$. For such algebras DDA should have a commutative subalgebra generated by $p_0, \dots, p_{N-1}$, i.e. $[p_j, p_k] = 0$. Examples of such algebras close to the Heisenberg DDA (3) are given by the well-known algebra of shifts

$$[p_j, p_k] = 0, \quad [x^j, x^k] = 0, \quad [p_j, x^k] = \delta_j^k p_j, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (9)$$

algebra of differences

$$[p_j, p_k] = 0, \quad [x^j, x^k] = 0, \quad [p_j, x^k] = \delta_j^k (\hat{I} + p_j), \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (10)$$

algebra of q-differences

$$[p_j, p_k] = 0, \quad [x^j, x^k] = 0, \quad [p_j, x^k] = \delta_j^k (\hat{I} + qx^j p_j), \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (11)$$

and their mixtures. Here $\hat{I}$ denotes the identity operator.

Here we will concentrate on DDA of the types (3), (9), (10) and DDA which contains them as subalgebras. All these algebras can be presented in the unified form as the algebra with the following determining commutation relations

$$[p_j, p_k] = 0, \quad [x^j, x^k] = 0, \quad [p_j, x^k] = \delta_j^k (\hat{I} + \varepsilon_j p_j), \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (12)$$

where ε_j are arbitrary parameters. For $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ one has the Heisenberg subalgebra while at $\varepsilon_j = 1$ one has algebra (10). A realization of such DDA is given by the algebra of differences $p_j = \Delta_j$ where $\Delta_j = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_j}(T_j - 1)$ and $T_j(x^k) = x^k + \delta_j^k \varepsilon_j$, $j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. At $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ obviously $\Delta_j \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$.

For the DDA (12) the derivation of the CS is based on the following identities. The first is

$$[p_j, \varphi(x)] = \Delta_j \varphi(x) \cdot (\hat{I} + \varepsilon_j p_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1 \quad (13)$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is an arbitrary function, $\Delta_j \varphi(x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{N-1}) = (T_j - 1)\varphi(x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{N-1})$ and $T_j \varphi(x^0, \dots, x^j, \dots, x^{N-1}) = \varphi(x^0, \dots, x^j + \varepsilon_j, \dots, x^{N-1})$. The second is

$$(p_j p_k) p_l - p_j (p_k p_l) = -p_l f_{jk} + p_j f_{kl} - T_l C_{jk}^m \cdot f_{lm} + T_j C_{kl}^m \cdot f_{jm} + (\Delta_l C_{jk}^n - \Delta_j C_{kl}^n + T_l C_{jk}^m \cdot C_{lm}^n - T_j C_{kl}^m \cdot C_{jm}^n) p_n, \quad j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, N-1. \quad (14)$$

The identity (14) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \{(p_j p_k) p_l - p_j (p_k p_l)\} | \Psi \rangle &= A_{klj}^n(x) p_n | \Psi \rangle = \\ &= (\Delta_l C_{jk}^n - \Delta_j C_{kl}^n + T_l C_{jk}^m \cdot C_{lm}^n - T_j C_{kl}^m \cdot C_{jm}^n) p_n | \Psi \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $| \Psi \rangle \subset$ linear subspace H_Γ defined by equations (8) and A_{klj}^n is the associator for the algebra A . For an associative algebra l.h.s. of (15) vanishes

and under the condition that subspace H_Γ does not contain elements linear in $p_j \mid \Psi$ equation (15) is satisfied iff

$$\Delta_l C_{jk}^n - \Delta_j C_{kl}^n + T_l C_{jk}^m \cdot C_{lm}^n - T_j C_{kl}^m \cdot C_{jm}^n = 0, \quad j, k, l, n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (16)$$

Thus, we have

Proposition. The structure constants $C_{jk}^l(x)$ define deformations generated by the DDA (12) if they obey the CS (16).

We would like to emphasize that the CS (16) is, in fact, the consequence of the weak associativity condition

$$\{(p_j p_k) p_l - p_j (p_k p_l)\} \mid \Psi = 0. \quad (17)$$

In standard matrix notations with the matrices C_j, A_{lj} defined by $(C_j)_k^l = C_{jk}^l$, $(A_{lj})_k^n = A_{klj}^n$ the CS (16) takes the form

$$A_{lj}^d \doteq \Delta_l C_j - \Delta_j C_l + C_l T_l C_j - C_j T_j C_l = 0 \quad (18)$$

or

$$A_{lj}^d \doteq (1 + \varepsilon_l C_l) T_l (1 + \varepsilon_j C_j) - (1 + \varepsilon_j C_j) T_j (1 + \varepsilon_l C_l) = 0.$$

There is no summation over repeated indices in these formulae.

In the case of all $\varepsilon_j = 0, T_j = 1, \Delta_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ and CS (16) coincides with the QCS (5). For all $\varepsilon_j = 1$ one has a pure discrete CS which has been discussed in [11]. Here we have general mixed CS which will be quite useful in discussion of relation between continuous and discrete equations and Darboux transformations as well.

We note also that the results of the paper [11] concerning the relation between the associator and discrete curvature tensor are valid in the general case too.

3 Continuous and discrete Boussinesq equations

A simple nontrivial example of the proposed scheme corresponds to the three-dimensional algebra with the unite element and the basis $\mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2$. The table of multiplication is given by the trivial part $\mathbf{P}_0 \mathbf{P}_j = \mathbf{P}_j, j = 0, 1, 2$ and by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_1^2 &= A\mathbf{P}_0 + B\mathbf{P}_1 + C\mathbf{P}_2, \\ \mathbf{P}_1 \mathbf{P}_2 &= D\mathbf{P}_0 + E\mathbf{P}_1 + G\mathbf{P}_2, \\ \mathbf{P}_2^2 &= L\mathbf{P}_0 + M\mathbf{P}_1 + N\mathbf{P}_2 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where the structure constants A,B,...,N depend only on the deformation parameters x^1, x^2 due to the cyclicity of the variable x^0 , associated with the unite element $\mathbf{P}_0$. It is the easy consequence of the CS at $l=0$ and $C_{j0}^l = \delta_j^l$.

The CS (16) generated by the DDA (12) in this case is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_1 D - \Delta_2 A + AE_1 + DG_1 - DB_2 - LC_2 &= 0, \\
\Delta_1 E - \Delta_2 B + D_1 + BE_1 + EG_1 - EB_2 - MC_2 &= 0, \\
\Delta_1 G - \Delta_2 C + CE_1 + GG_1 - A_2 - GB_2 - NC_2 &= 0, \\
\Delta_1 L - \Delta_2 D + AM_1 + DN_1 - DE_2 - LG_2 &= 0, \\
\Delta_1 M - \Delta_2 E + L_1 + BM_1 + EN_1 - EE_2 - MG_2 &= 0, \\
\Delta_1 N - \Delta_2 G + CM_1 + GN_1 - D_2 - GE_2 - NG_2 &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $A_j \doteq T_j A$ etc. The CS (20) which governs the deformations of the structure constants A,B,... is the underdetermined one and, hence, admits the gauge freedom. One of the gauges, namely, $B = 0, C = 1, G = 0$ has been discussed in [10] for the quantum deformations and it was shown that in this case the QCS is reduced to the BSQ equation.

Let us consider the same gauge here. The first three equations (20) give

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \Delta_1 D - \Delta_2 A + AE_1, \\
M &= \Delta_1 E + D_1, \\
N &= E_1 - A_2
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and the rest of the system (20) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Delta_1^2 - \Delta_2 + E_{11} - E_2 - A_{12}) E + 2\Delta_1 D_1 - \Delta_2 A_1 + A_1 E_{11} &= 0, \\
(\Delta_1^2 - \Delta_2 + E_{11} - E_2 - A_{12}) D + (-\Delta_1 \Delta_2 + \Delta_1 E_1 + D_{11}) A + \Delta_1 (AE_1) &= 0, \\
\Delta_1 (2E_1 - A_2) + D_{11} - D_2 &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

The CS (22) defines the Boussinesq deformations of the structure constants in (19) generated by the DDA (12). Indeed, in the case $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 0$, i.e. for the Heisenberg DDA the CS (22) becomes ($A_{x_j} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial x^j}$, etc)

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{x_1 x_1} - E_{x_2} + 2D_{x_1} - A_{x_2} &= 0, \\
D_{x_1 x_1} - D_{x_2} - A_{x_1 x_2} + AE_{x_1} + (AE)_{x_1} &= 0, \\
2E - A &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where all integration constants have been chosen to be equal to zero. Hence, one has the system

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} A_{x_1 x_1} - \frac{3}{2} A_{x_2} + 2D_{x_1} &= 0, \\
D_{x_1 x_1} - D_{x_2} - A_{x_1 x_2} + \frac{3}{4} (A^2)_{x_1} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Eliminating D , one gets the Boussinesq (BSQ) equation

$$A_{x_2 x_2} + \frac{1}{3} A_{x_1 x_1 x_1 x_1} - (A^2)_{x_1 x_1} = 0. \quad (25)$$

The BSQ equation (25) defines quantum deformations of the structure constants in (19) with $B = 0, C = 1, G = 0, L = D_{x_1} - A_{x_2} + \frac{1}{2} A^2, M = \frac{1}{2} A_{x_1} + D, N = -\frac{1}{2} A$ and A, D defined by (24).

In the pure discrete case $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 1$ the CS (22) represents the discrete version of the BSQ system (24). It defines the discrete deformations of the same structure constants.

There are also the mixed cases. The first is $\varepsilon_1 = 0, \varepsilon_2 = 1 (T_1 = 1, \Delta_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \Delta_2 = T_2 - 1)$. The CS (22) is

$$\begin{aligned} E_{x_1 x_1} + 2D_{x_1} - (1 + E)\Delta_2(E + A) &= 0, \\ D_{x_1 x_1} - D_{x_2} + AE_{x_1} + (AE)_{x_1} - \Delta_2 A_{x_1} - D\Delta_2(E + A) &= 0, \\ (2E - A_2)_{x_1} - \Delta_2 D_2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The second case corresponds to $\varepsilon_1 = 1, \varepsilon_2 = 0 (\Delta_1 = T_1 - 1, T_2 = 1, \Delta_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2})$ and CS takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1^2 E + (E_{11} - E - A_1)E + A_1 E_{11} + 2\Delta_1 D_1 - (E + A_1)_{x_2} &= 0, \\ \Delta_1^2 D + (E_{11} - E - A_1)D + A\Delta_1 E_1 + \Delta_1(AE_1) + D_{11}A - \Delta_1 A_{x_2} - D_{x_2} &= 0, \\ \Delta_1(2E_1 - A) + D_{11} - D &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Equations (8) provide us with the linear problems for the CS (22). They are

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_2 - \Delta_1^2 + A) | \Psi \rangle &= 0, \\ (\Delta_1 \Delta_2 - E \Delta_1 - D) | \Psi \rangle &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_2 - \Delta_1^2 + A) | \Psi \rangle &= 0, \\ (\Delta_1^3 - (E + A)\Delta_1 - (D + \Delta_1 A)) | \Psi \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

One can check that the equation $f_{22} | \Psi \rangle = 0$ is a consequence of (28).

In the quantum case ($\Delta_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$) the system (29) is the well-known linear system for the continuous BSQ equation [12]. We note that in this case the third equation $f_{22} | \Psi \rangle = 0$, i.e. $(\Delta_2^2 - L - D\Delta_1 + \frac{1}{2} A) | \Psi \rangle = 0$ is the consequence of two equations (29).

In the pure discrete case equations (29) represent the linear problems for the discrete BSQ equation. The first mixed case considered above can be treated as the BSQ equation with the discrete time. The second case instead represents a continuous isospectral flow for the third order difference problem, i.e. a sort of the difference BSQ equation with continuous time.

4 WDVV, discrete and continuous-discrete WDVV equations

Another interesting reduction of the CS (20) corresponds to the constraint $C = 1, G = 0, N = 0$. In this case the CS is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_1 D - \Delta_2 A + AE_1 - DB_2 - L &= 0, \\
\Delta_1 E - \Delta_2 B + D_1 + BE_1 + EG_1 - EB_2 - M &= 0, \\
E_1 - A_2 &= 0, \\
\Delta_1 L - \Delta_2 D + AM_1 - DE_2 &= 0, \\
\Delta_1 M - \Delta_2 E + L_1 + BM_1 - EE_2 &= 0, \\
M_1 - D_2 &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Third and sixth equations (30) imply the existence of the functions U and V such that

$$A = U_1, \quad E = U_2, \quad D = V_1, \quad M = V_2.$$

Substituting the expressions for L and M given by the first two equations (30), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \Delta_1 V_1 - \Delta_2 U_1 + U_1 U_{12} - V_1 B_2, \\
M &= \Delta_1 U_2 - \Delta_2 B + V_{11} + BU_{12} - U_2 B_2
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

into the rest of the system, one gets the following three equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_1 U_1 - \Delta_2 B + V_{11} + BU_{12} - U_2 B_2 - V_2 &= 0, \\
(\Delta_1^2 - \Delta_2) V_1 - \Delta_1 \Delta_2 U_1 + \Delta_1 (U_1 U_{12}) - \Delta_1 (V_1 B_2) - V_1 U_{22} + U_1 V_{12} &= 0, \\
\Delta_1 (V_2 + V_{11}) - \Delta_2 (U_2 + U_{11}) + U_{11} U_{112} - U_2 U_{22} - V_{11} B_{12} + BV_{12} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Solution of this system together with the formulas (31) define deformations of the structure constants A,B,... generated by the DDA (12).

In the pure continuous case ($\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 0$) the system (32) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{x_1} - B_{x_2} &= 0, \\
(V_{x_1} + U_{x_2} + U^2 - VB)_{x_1} - V_{x_2} &= 0, \\
V_{x_1} - U_{x_2} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

This system of three conservation laws implies the existence of the function F such that

$$U = F_{x_1 x_1 x_2}, \quad V = F_{x_1 x_2 x_2}, \quad B = F_{x_1 x_1 x_1}. \tag{34}$$

In terms of F the system (33) is

$$F_{x_2x_2x_2} - (F_{x_1x_1x_2})^2 + F_{x_1x_1x_1}F_{x_1x_2x_2} = 0. \quad (35)$$

It is the famous WDVV equation [1,2]. It is a well-known fact the WDVV equation describes deformations of the three-dimensional algebra (19) under the reduction $C = 1, G = 0, N = 0$ [3-10]. So, the system (32) represents the generalization of the WDVV equation to the case of deformations of the same algebra generated by DDA (12).

In the pure discrete case $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 1$ the system (32) gives us a pure discrete version of the WDVV equation. In the first mixed case $\varepsilon_1 = 0, \varepsilon_2 = 1$ we have the system

$$\begin{aligned} U_{x_1} - \Delta_2(B + V) - U_2\Delta_2B &= 0, \\ (V_{x_1} + UU_2 - VB_2)_{x_1} - \Delta_2(V + U_{x_1}) - VU_{22} + UV_2 &= 0, \\ (V + V_2)_{x_1} - \Delta_2(U + U_2 + UU_2) - VB_2 + BV_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

while in the case $\varepsilon_1 = 1, \varepsilon_2 = 0$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1U_1 + B\Delta_1U + V_{11} - V - B_{x_2} &= 0, \\ \Delta_1(\Delta_1V_1 - U_{1x_2} + U_1^2 - V_1B) - V_1U + U_1V_1 - V_{1x_2} &= 0, \\ \Delta_1(V + V_{11}) + U_{11}^2 - U^2 - V_{11}B_1 + BV_1 - (U + U_{11})_{x_2} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Equations $f_{jk} | \Psi \rangle = 0$ for the system (30) in the coordinate representation $p_j = \Delta_j$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_2 - \Delta_1^2 + B\Delta_1 + A) | \Psi \rangle &= 0, \\ (\Delta_1\Delta_2 - E\Delta_1 - D) | \Psi \rangle &= 0, \\ (\Delta_2^2 - M\Delta_1 - L) | \Psi \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The system (38) in its turn is equivalent to the following

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_2 - \Delta_1^2 + B\Delta_1 + A) | \Psi \rangle &= 0, \\ (\Delta_1^3 - B\Delta_1^2 - (\Delta_1B + A_1 + E)\Delta_1 - (\Delta_1A + D)) | \Psi \rangle &= 0, \\ (\Delta_2^2 - M\Delta_1 - L) | \Psi \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

The compatibility condition for the above linear problems are equivalent to the "discretized" WDVV equations (30) or (32). In particular, in the pure continuous case the problems (38) in the coordinate representation are of the well-known form [3,4]

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{x_1 x_1} &= F_{x_1 x_1 x_2} \Psi + F_{x_1 x_1 x_1} \Psi_{x_1} + \Psi_{x_2}, \\
\Psi_{x_1 x_2} &= F_{x_1 x_2 x_2} \Psi + F_{x_1 x_1 x_2} \Psi_{x_1}, \\
\Psi_{x_2 x_2} &= F_{x_2 x_2 x_2} \Psi + F_{x_1 x_2 x_2} \Psi_{x_1}
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{x_2} &= \Psi_{x_1 x_1} - F_{x_1 x_1 x_1} \Psi_{x_1} - F_{x_1 x_1 x_2} \Psi, \\
\Psi_{x_1 x_1 x_1} &= F_{x_1 x_1 x_1} \Psi_{x_1 x_1} + (2F_{x_1 x_1 x_2} + F_{x_1 x_1 x_1 x_1}) \Psi_{x_1} + (F_{x_1 x_2 x_2} + F_{x_1 x_1 x_1 x_2}) \Psi, \\
\Psi_{x_1 x_1 x_1 x_1} &= F_{x_1 x_1 x_1} \Psi_{x_1 x_1 x_1} + (2F_{x_1 x_1 x_2} + F_{x_1 x_1 x_1 x_1}) \Psi_{x_1 x_1} + \\
&\quad + (3F_{x_1 x_1 x_1 x_2} + F_{x_1 x_1 x_1 x_1 x_1} + F_{x_1 x_2 x_2}) \Psi_{x_1} + \\
&\quad + (F_{x_1 x_1 x_1 x_1 x_2} + F_{x_1 x_1 x_2 x_2} + F_{x_2 x_2 x_2} - (F_{x_1 x_1 x_2})^2 + F_{x_1 x_1 x_1} F_{x_1 x_2 x_2}) \Psi.
\end{aligned}$$

The first of these equations represents itself the reduction of the linear problem

$$\Psi_{x_2} = \Psi_{x_1 x_1} + V \Psi_{x_1} + U \Psi \tag{41}$$

associated with the generalized KP-modified KP hierarchy. So, the WDVV equation is a very special reduction of the generalized KP-mKP hierarchy stationary with the respect to the times x_3, x_4 . Note that the second and the third of above equations are equivalent if F obeys WDVV equation. For the similar results see [13].

5 Mixed DDA and Darboux transformations

For $N \geq 4$ the CS (16) (or (18)) generated by the DDA (12) contains much more mixed cases between the pure continuous and pure discrete equations. The situation with N-1 continuous variables and one discrete, i.e. when $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_{N-2} = 0$ and $\varepsilon_{N-1} = 1$ is of particular interest. The commutation relations (12) defining the DDA of this type can be presented as

$$[p_j, p_k] = 0, \quad [x^j, x^k] = 0, \quad [p_j, x^k] = \hbar \delta_j^k, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-2, \tag{42}$$

$$[p_j, T] = 0, \quad [x^j, n] = 0, \quad [p_j, n] = 0, \quad [T, n] = T \tag{43}$$

where $T = p_{N-1} + 1$ and n is a discrete variable associated with T. The table of multiplication in the general case is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_j \mathbf{P}_k &= \sum_{l=0}^{N-2} C_{jk}^l \mathbf{P}_l + C_{jk}^{N-1} T, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-2 \\
\mathbf{T} \mathbf{P}_k &= \sum_{l=0}^{N-2} C_{N-1k}^l \mathbf{P}_l + C_{N-1k}^{N-1} T, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-2 \\
\mathbf{T}^2 &= \sum_{l=0}^{N-2} C_{N-1N-1}^l \mathbf{P}_l + C_{N-1N-1}^{N-1} T.
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The change of the basis $p_{N-1} \rightarrow T$ in the table (31) is performed for the further convenience. Under the constraint $C_{jk}^{N-1} = 0, j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-2$ such an algebra has a special meaning. Namely, it contains the subalgebra spanned by the elements $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{N-2}$. As far as the CS is concerned, one can show that it separates into two parts, namely,

$$\frac{\partial C_j}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial C_k}{\partial x^j} + [C_k, C_j] = 0, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-2 \tag{45}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial C_{N-1}}{\partial x^l} + C_l C_{N-1} - C_{N-1} T C_l = 0, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, N-2 \tag{46}$$

where $T C_l(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{N-2}, n) = C_l(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{N-2}, n+1)$. Note the difference with the CS (16) in virtue of the change $p_{N-1} \rightarrow T$. Compatibility conditions for equations (46) are satisfied due to the fact that the matrices $T C_j (j = 0, 1, \dots, N-2)$ obey equations (45) together with C_j .

It is not difficult to show that the system (45) contains a closed subsystem for the first $(N-2) \times (N-2)$ principal minors $\tilde{C}_j$ of the matrices $C_j ((\tilde{C}_j)_k^l = C_{jk}^l, l, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-2)$, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{C}_j}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial \tilde{C}_k}{\partial x^j} + [\tilde{C}_k, \tilde{C}_j] = 0, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-2 \tag{47}$$

This subsystem defines an integrable system of differential equations which coincides with the QCS (5) with $j, k, l, n = 0, 1, \dots, N-2$ and the independent variables $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{N-2}$. The rest of the system (45) and equations (46) determine a transformation $\tilde{C}_l \rightarrow T \tilde{C}_l$ which converts solutions of the system (47) into the solutions of the same system. Thus, equations (45)-(46) define a Darboux transformation for the continuous system (47).

We will consider the simplest case $N=4$ to illustrate this general scheme. Thus, we consider the four-dimensional associative algebra with the commutative basis $\mathbf{P}_0, \mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2, \mathbf{T}$. The table of multiplication is given by (nontrivial

part)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_1^2 &= A\mathbf{P}_0 + B\mathbf{P}_1 + C\mathbf{P}_2, \\
\mathbf{P}_1\mathbf{P}_2 &= D\mathbf{P}_0 + E\mathbf{P}_1 + G\mathbf{P}_2, \\
\mathbf{P}_2^2 &= L\mathbf{P}_0 + M\mathbf{P}_1 + N\mathbf{P}_2, \\
\mathbf{P}_1\mathbf{T} &= a_1\mathbf{P}_0 + a_2\mathbf{P}_1 + a_3\mathbf{P}_2 + a_4\mathbf{T}, \\
\mathbf{P}_2\mathbf{T} &= b_1\mathbf{P}_0 + b_2\mathbf{P}_1 + b_3\mathbf{P}_2 + b_4\mathbf{T}, \\
\mathbf{T}^2 &= c_1\mathbf{P}_0 + c_2\mathbf{P}_1 + c_3\mathbf{P}_2 + c_4\mathbf{T}.
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The matrices C_1, C_2, C_3 ($C_0 = 1$) are

$$C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & D & a_1 \\ 1 & B & E & a_2 \\ 0 & C & G & a_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}, C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D & L & b_1 \\ 0 & E & M & b_2 \\ 1 & G & N & b_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}, C_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ 0 & a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ 0 & a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \\ 1 & a_4 & b_4 & c_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Equation (47) with $j = 1, k = 2$ is the pure continuous system (20). The rest of equations (45) have the following form

$$a_{1x_2} - b_{1x_1} + Da_2 + La_3 - Ab_2 - Db_3 + b_1a_4 - a_1b_4 = 0, \tag{49}$$

$$a_{2x_2} - b_{2x_1} + Ea_2 + Ma_3 - Bb_2 - Eb_3 - b_1 + b_2a_4 - a_2b_4 = 0, \tag{50}$$

$$a_{3x_2} - b_{3x_1} + Ga_2 + Na_3 - Cb_2 - Gb_3 + a_1 + b_3a_4 - a_3b_4 = 0, \tag{51}$$

$$a_{4x_2} - b_{4x_1} = 0. \tag{52}$$

Matrix equations (46) are equivalent to 24 scalar differential equations. They are presented in the Appendix.

Analyzing equations (49)-(52) and those in the Appendix, one concludes that they are not all independent. For instance, equation (49) coincides with the difference of equations (87) and (78). Equation (50) coincides with the difference of equations (88) and (79) while equations (51) and (52) are similar consequences of equations (89), (80) and equations (90), (81), respectively. Further, it follows that all these equations, in principle, allow us to reconstruct a_j, b_j, c_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and finally TA, TB etc in terms of A, B etc.

6 Darboux transformation for the Boussinesq equation as the discrete deformation

Here we will present solution of the above equations for the Boussinesq reduction $B = 0, C = 1, G = 0$. In this case $L = D_{x_1} - A_{x_2} + \frac{1}{2}A^2, M = \frac{1}{2}A_{x_1} + D, N = -\frac{1}{2}A$ (see section 3). Let us begin with equations (77) and (81) which in the BSQ gauge are of the form

$$a_{4x_1} + a_4^2 - b_4 - TA = 0, \quad (53)$$

$$b_{4x_1} + a_4 b_4 - \frac{1}{2} a_4 TA - TD = 0. \quad (54)$$

Equation (52) implies that there exists a function φ such that

$$a_4 = (\ln \varphi)_{x_1}, \quad b_4 = (\ln \varphi)_{x_2}. \quad (55)$$

Substituting these expressions into (53) and (54), one gets the equations

$$\varphi_{x_2} = \varphi_{x_1 x_1} - TA\varphi, \quad (56)$$

$$\varphi_{x_1 x_2} = \frac{1}{2} TA\varphi_{x_1} + TD\varphi. \quad (57)$$

These equations are just the linear problems (29) for the continuous BSQ equation for the shifted solutions TA and TD. This observation serves a lot for the further calculations. To simplify them we consider a special case for which $a_3 = 1, c_3 = 1$. With such a choice equations (51), (76), (80), (84), (89) take the form

$$-b_{3x_1} + a_1 + \frac{1}{2}A + b_3 a_4 - b_2 - b_4 = 0, \quad (58)$$

$$a_2 + a_4 - b_3 = 0, \quad (59)$$

$$b_{3x_1} + b_2 + b_4 - \frac{1}{2}TA = 0, \quad (60)$$

$$c_2 + c_4 - b_3 - T(a_2 + a_4) = 0, \quad (61)$$

$$a_1 - \frac{1}{2}A + b_3 a_4 - \frac{1}{2}TA = 0. \quad (62)$$

Rather involved analysis, which we omit, shows that equations (58)-(62) and others have a solution

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= A - (\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1 x_1} + (\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1} (\ln \varphi)_{x_1}, & a_2 &= -(\ln (\tilde{\Psi}\varphi))_{x_1}, \\ a_3 &= 1, & a_4 &= (\ln \varphi)_{x_1}, \\ b_1 &= D - (\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1 x_2} + (\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1} (\ln \varphi)_{x_2}, & b_2 &= \frac{1}{2}A - (\ln \varphi)_{x_2}, \\ b_3 &= -(\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1}, & b_4 &= (\ln \varphi)_{x_2}, \\ c_1 &= A - (\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1 x_1} - (\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1} (\ln \varphi)_{x_1} + (\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1} \frac{T\varphi}{\varphi}, \\ c_2 &= -(\ln (\tilde{\Psi}\varphi))_{x_1} - \frac{T\varphi}{\varphi}, & c_3 &= 1, & c_4 &= \frac{T\varphi}{\varphi} \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

and

$$TA = A - 2(\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1 x_1}, \quad (64)$$

$$TD = D + \frac{1}{2}(\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1 x_1 x_1} - \frac{3}{2}(\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1 x_2} \quad (65)$$

where $\tilde{\Psi}$ is a solution of the linear problems for the BSQ equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{x_2} &= \Psi_{x_1 x_1} - A\Psi, \\ \Psi_{x_1 x_2} &= \frac{1}{2}A\Psi_{x_1} + D\Psi \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

and φ solves equations (56-57).

The formulae (64) and (65) represent the well-known Darboux transformation for BSQ equation (23) (see e.g.[14]). Recall that in the terms of the τ -function defined via

$$A = -2F_{x_1 x_1}, \quad D = -\frac{3}{2}F_{x_1 x_2} + \frac{1}{2}F_{x_1 x_1 x_1} \quad (67)$$

the Darboux transformation is a very simple one

$$T\tau = \tilde{\Psi}\tau. \quad (68)$$

Thus, we have constructed deformations of the structure constants from the table of multiplication (48) parametrized by two continuous variables x^1, x^2 and one discrete variable n . They are governed by the BSQ equation (23), formulae (21), (63) and transformations (64),(65). From the viewpoint of integrable systems the corresponding CS represents a composition of the BSQ equation and its Darboux transformation.

These deformations have an important algebraic property. Using expressions (63), one can show that the linear problems $f_{jk} | \Psi \rangle = 0$ are equivalent to the following one

$$\begin{aligned} (p_2 - p_1^2 + Ap_0) | \Psi \rangle &= 0, \\ \left(p_1 p_2 - \frac{1}{2}Ap_1 - Dp_0 \right) | \Psi \rangle &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (p_1 - a_4 p_0)(T - p_1 + (\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1} p_0) | \Psi \rangle &= 0, \\ (p_2 - b_4 p_0)(T - p_1 + (\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1} p_0) | \Psi \rangle &= 0, \\ (T - c_4 p_0)(T - p_1 + (\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1} p_0) | \Psi \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Factorization of the linear operators in the problems (70) which correspond to the discrete part of the basic equations $f_{jk} | \Psi \rangle = 0$ is the characteristic property of the deformations considered above.

In the coordinate representation for which $p_1 = \partial_{x_1}, p_2 = \partial_{x_2}, Tf(x, n) = f(x, n + 1)$ the linear problems (70) have the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_{x_1} - (\ln \varphi)_{x_1}) \left(T\Psi - (\Psi_{x_1} - (\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1} \Psi) \right) &= 0, \\ (\partial_{x_2} - (\ln \varphi)_{x_2}) \left(T\Psi - (\Psi_{x_1} - (\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1} \Psi) \right) &= 0, \\ \left(T - \frac{T\varphi}{\varphi} \right) \left(T\Psi - (\Psi_{x_1} - (\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1} \Psi) \right) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

These equations imply that

$$T\Psi = \Psi_{x_1} - (\ln \tilde{\Psi})_{x_1} \Psi + \alpha \varphi \quad (72)$$

where α is an arbitrary constant. At $\alpha = 0$ the formula (72) is nothing but the standard transformation of the BSQ wavefunction Ψ under the Darboux transformation (see e.g. [14]). For $\alpha \neq 0$ the function $T\Psi - \alpha \varphi$ also solves linear problems (66) with the potentials TA, TD.

Thus, the theory of the Darboux transformations (at least, for the BSQ equation) is completely incorporated into the deformation theory presented in this paper.

The situation with the WDVV equation is quite different. At the reduction $C = 1, G = N = 0$ and consequently $E = A, M = D$ one gets equations (49)-(52) and others which are not too different from those for the BSQ equation. But in contrast with the former one these equations are equivalent to the overdetermined system of nonlinear PDEs which are doubtly compatible. The conjecture is that in order to construct Darboux type transformation for the WDVV equation one should consider higher-dimensional algebras ($N \geq 5$).

7 Appendix

In the case $N=2$ the matrix equation

$$\frac{\partial C_3}{\partial x^1} + C_1 C_3 - C_3 T C_1 = 0 \quad (73)$$

is equivalent to the following twelve equations

$$\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial x_1} + A a_2 + D a_3 + a_1 a_4 - a_1 T B - b_1 T C = 0, \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial x_1} + a_1 + B a_2 + E a_3 + a_2 a_4 - a_2 T B - b_2 T C = 0, \quad (75)$$

$$\frac{\partial a_3}{\partial x_1} + C a_2 + G a_3 + a_3 a_4 - a_3 T B - b_3 T C = 0, \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{\partial a_4}{\partial x_1} + a_4^2 - TA - a_4TB - b_4TC = 0, \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_1}{\partial x_1} + Ab_2 + Db_3 + a_1b_4 - a_1TE - b_1TG = 0, \quad (78)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_2}{\partial x_1} + b_1 + Bb_2 + Eb_3 + a_2b_4 - a_2TE - b_2TG = 0, \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_3}{\partial x_1} + Cb_2 + Gb_3 + a_3b_4 - a_3TE - b_3TG = 0, \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_4}{\partial x_1} + a_4b_4 - TD - a_4TE - b_4TG = 0, \quad (81)$$

$$\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x_1} + Ac_2 + Dc_3 + a_1c_4 - a_1Ta_2 - b_1Ta_3 - c_1Ta_4 = 0, \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{\partial c_2}{\partial x_1} + c_1 + Bc_2 + Ec_3 + a_2c_4 - a_2Ta_2 - b_2Ta_3 - c_2Ta_4 = 0, \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{\partial c_3}{\partial x_1} + Cc_2 + Gc_3 + a_3c_4 - a_3Ta_2 - b_3Ta_3 - c_3Ta_4 = 0, \quad (84)$$

$$\frac{\partial c_4}{\partial x_1} + a_4c_4 - Ta_1 - a_4Ta_2 - b_4Ta_3 - c_4Ta_4 = 0. \quad (85)$$

Equation

$$\frac{\partial C_3}{\partial x^2} + C_2C_3 - C_3TC_2 = 0 \quad (86)$$

takes the form

$$\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial x_2} + Da_2 + La_3 + b_1a_4 - a_1TE - b_1TG = 0, \quad (87)$$

$$\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial x_2} + Ea_2 + Ma_3 + b_2a_4 - a_2TE - b_2TG = 0, \quad (88)$$

$$\frac{\partial a_3}{\partial x_2} + a_1 + Ga_2 + Na_3 + b_3a_4 - a_3TE - b_3TG = 0, \quad (89)$$

$$\frac{\partial a_4}{\partial x_2} + b_4a_4 - TD - a_4TE - b_4TG = 0, \quad (90)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_1}{\partial x_2} + Db_2 + Lb_3 + b_1b_4 - a_1TM - b_1TN = 0, \quad (91)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_2}{\partial x_2} + Eb_2 + Mb_3 + b_2b_4 - a_2TM - b_2TN = 0, \quad (92)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_3}{\partial x_2} + b_1 + Gb_2 + Nb_3 + b_3b_4 - a_3TM - b_3TN = 0, \quad (93)$$

$$\frac{\partial b_4}{\partial x_2} + b_4^2 - TL - a_4TM - b_4TN = 0, \quad (94)$$

$$\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x_2} + Dc_2 + Lc_3 + b_1c_4 - a_1Tb_2 - b_1Tb_3 - c_1Tb_4 = 0, \quad (95)$$

$$\frac{\partial c_2}{\partial x_2} + Ec_2 + Mc_3 + b_2c_4 - a_2Tb_2 - b_2Tb_3 - c_2Tb_4 = 0, \quad (96)$$

$$\frac{\partial c_3}{\partial x_2} + c_1 + Gc_2 + Nc_3 + b_3c_4 - a_3Tb_2 - b_3Tb_3 - c_3Tb_4 = 0, \quad (97)$$

$$\frac{\partial c_4}{\partial x_2} + b_4c_4 - Tb_1 - a_4Tb_2 - b_4Tb_3 - c_4Tb_4 = 0. \quad (98)$$

8 References

1. Witten E., On the structure of topological phase of two-dimensional gravity, Nucl. Phys., 340, 281-332 (1990).
2. Dijkgraaf R., Verlinde H. and Verlinde E., Topological strings in d<1, Nucl. Phys., B 352, 59-86 (1991).
3. Dubrovin B., Integrable systems in topological field theory, Nucl. Phys., B 379, 627-689 (1992).
4. Dubrovin B., Geometry of 2D topological field theories, Lecture Notes in Math., 1620, 120-348 (1996), Springer, Berlin.
5. Manin Y.I., Frobenius manifolds, quantum cohomology and moduli spaces, AMS, Providence, 1999.
6. Hertling C., Frobenius manifolds and moduli spaces for singularities, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002.
7. Konopelchenko B.G. and Magri F., Coisotropic deformations of associative algebras and dispersionless integrable hierarchies, Commun. Math. Phys., 274, 627-658 (2007).
8. Konopelchenko B.G. and Magri F., Dispersionless integrable equations as coisotropic deformations: extensions and reductions, Theor. Math. Phys., 151, 803-819 (2007).
9. Konopelchenko B.G. and Magri F., Coisotropic deformations of associative algebras, Yano manifolds and integrable systems, to appear.
10. Konopelchenko B.G., Quantum deformations of associative algebras and integrable systems, arXiv:0802.3022, 2008.
11. Konopelchenko B.G., Discrete, q-difference deformations of associative algebras and integrable systems, arXiv:0809.1938, 2008.

12. Zakharov V.E., On stochastization of one-dimensional chain of nonlinear oscillators, Sov. Phys. JETP, 35, 908-914 (1974).
13. Givental A., A_{n-1} singularities and nKdV hierarchies, Mosc. Math. J., 3, 475-505 (2003).
14. Matveev V.B. and Salle M.A., Darboux transformations and solitons, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.